UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

	ILED	This space for Clerk's Office File Stamp
TO: U. S. DISTRIC	08 FEB 20 AMII: 21 CT JUDGE / LIL S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Deputy Official district of Californ RECEIVED DA	
CASE NO.: <u>07cv232</u> :	DOCUMENT FILED BY: Plaint	iff-Cendali
CASE TITLE: Cendali v. Trex Enterprises		
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Amended Complaint		
Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:		
✓ Local Rule	Discrepancy	
5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting doc	umentation
5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper	
5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.	
7.1 or 47.1	1 or 47.1 Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely	
7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document	
7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions	
7.1	Missing table of contents	
15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself	
30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order	
	Supplemental documents require court order	
	Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest	
X OTHER:Past deadline to amend complaint per Order (docket #3)		
File as Motion for Extension of Time to Amend. ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE IT IS HEREBY ORDERED: Date forwarded: 2/19/2008		
∞		
,		
The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.		
Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers		
Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1 CHAMBERS OF: U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE		
Dated: 2/19/08 cc: All Parties CHAMBERS OF. By: WWW/LC		